

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/082,483 05/20/98 WHITE J AKT2253P1 (23 **EXAMINER** MM42/0120 PATENT COUNSEL LUU.P APPLIED MATERIALS **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT 10 P 0 BOX 450A 2824

SANTA CLARA CA 95052

DATE MAILED: 01/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/082,483** 

Applicant(s)

White et al.

Examiner

Pho Luu

Group Art Unit 2824



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
ሺ Claims <u>1-58</u>	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved	
<ul> <li>Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).</li> <li>Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

## Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Group I, Claims 1-45, and Claims 47-58. An apparatus for performing a process. Classified in class 257, subclass 437.
- II. Group II, Claims 46. Method for processing a plurality. Classified in class 438, subclass 680.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of group I and group II are related as process for produce of an Apparatus for performing a process and Method for processing a plurality used therein. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that an apparatus as claimed can be used to make other and materially different method or (2) that the method as claimed can be made by another and materially different an apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, since an apparatus of Group I invention could be made by a product different from those of the Group II invention. For example, in claim 1, step a) a conveyor to support; b) a substrate transfer mechanism configured; c) at least one processing island located along said flow path.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group I, and Group II different, the restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor-ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor-ship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Mark D. Wieczorek on Friday, December, 27, 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Pho Luu whose telephone number (703) 306-5943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8.00am to 5.00pm.

Pho Luu January 10, 2000

> RICHARD T. ELMS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2100

PL